

FILED, acted of record

This 17th day of August 2008

CLERK, LAUREL CIRCUIT DISTRICT COURT

By [Signature]

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
LAUREL CIRCUIT COURT
INDICTMENT NO. 89-CR-0024

RONNIE LEE BOWLING

MOVANT

v.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

RESPONDENT

SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY TO
COMMONWEALTH'S RESPONSE TO
BOWLING'S CR 60.02 MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL

Comes Mr. Ronnie Lee Bowling, Pro-se, with this supplemental reply. Because there are some parts of the Commonwealth's "Response" that are so outrageous, these parts would be best addressed in a Pro-se Supplemental Reply.

Since the Commonwealth attorney in his "Response" made certain comments. This has opened the door for extra information:

1.) Supposedly Mr. Jackie Steele, the local Assistant Commonwealth Att. in Laurel County wrote "Response". He opens with, "Comes the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, by counsel," My question is, Who is he rep. the Att. Gen. or the Commonwealth of Kentucky?

2.) In the "SUMMARY" section of Commonwealth's response, it seems is all he can come up with to respond to Bowling's CR60.02 Motion For a New Trial, is to say, "factually similar complaint about FBI Agent havekost testimony in his previously filed and over ruled RCr.11.42 motion although the claim in that

Bowling replies a violation of the 8th and 14th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution has occurred by allowing this misleading of the jury in this case. See DONELLY V. DECHRISTOPORO, 416 U.S.637,647-648(1974).