

1 BLOOD SUBSTANCE. WITH REGARD TO THE CERVICAL, VAGINAL SWAB, I
2 DETECTED -- MY TESTS RESULTS INDICATED THAT THOSE SECRETIONS
3 WERE TYPE "O," "PGM-1," SUB-TYPE I PLUS I PLUS I LINE, PLUS
4 "SA-1".

5 Q WE HAVEN'T TOLD THE JURY ALL ABOUT THE SUB-TYPES, SO,
6 WE HAD BETTER BACK UP A MINUTE. I WOULD LIKE TO KEEP IT WITHIN
7 THE "ABO" FOR THE MOMENT.

8 A WITH REGARD TO THE CERVICAL, VAGINAL SWAB, MY TESTS
9 RESULTS INDICATED THAT THOSE SECRETIONS WERE TYPE "O". WITH
10 REGARD TO THE STAIN ON THE FITTED SHEET, MY TEST RESULTS
11 INDICATED THAT THE SECRETIONS WERE TYPE "O". ON THE SWEAT PANT
12 I DETECTED NO "ABO" BLOOD SUBSTANCES, WHICH IS THE SAME AS ON
13 THE THIGH SWAB THAT I PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED TO.

14 Q THE SAME AS THE SALIVA ON THE CIGARETTE BUTT?

15 A I DETECTED NO "ABO" SUBSTANCES ON THAT AS WELL, AND
16 ON THE FLAT SHEET, THE SECRETIONS ON THE STAIN SIMILAR TO THE
17 STAIN ON THE FLAT SHEET WERE INSUFFICIENT FOR "ABO" TYPING.

18 Q NOW, YOU TESTIFIED THAT REGARDING THE "ABO" SYSTEM
19 THAT, ESSENTIALLY, THE DEFENDANT AND THE VICTIM WERE BOTH TYPE
20 "O," AND THEY WERE BOTH SECRETORS. DID YOU DO OTHER TYPES OF
21 SYSTEMS?

22 A YES, WITH REGARD TO SEMINAL FLUID STAINS, YES.